

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/633,681 | 08/05/2003 | Keiichi Yoshioka | R2184.0247/P247 | 6878 |
| 24998 | 7590 05/17/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| 21011011 | SHAPIRO MORIN & | WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH | | |
| 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2815 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|---|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/633,681 | YOSHIOKA, KEIICHI |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Edward Wojciechowicz | 2815 |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with t | ne correspondence address |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply limit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND | FION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Formal</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, | • |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13,16 and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | drawn from consideration. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2. | epted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applirity documents have been recur | cation No eived in this National Stage |
| 2) D Notice 3) D Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/633,681

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10, the amended language refers to the "heat conduction" part as the "heat conductor" part.

In addition, as stated in the previous action, hereby incorporated by reference, the structural distinction between the heat conducting part and the signal transmission part is unclear. The recitation that the heat conduction part extends toward an upper layer side fails to clearly define where this heat conduction part originates from. Extending from where?

In view of the fact that, as shown in applicant's FIG. 2 embodiment which applicant references in his remarks, both the heat conducting part and the signal transmission part are formed of the same material, and as recited in claim 6, the heat conduction part may actually form part of the signal transmission part connected to a gate electrode, it becomes unclear how these parts are structurally distinguished from each other.

Consequently, the amended language reciting that the heat conducting part includes one metal wiring not being for signal transmission, is also unclear. Does this mean that there are plural structures which have no connection to each other? And, if so, how is this recitation reconciled with the structure shown in FIG. 2 of the instant application where it appears that the layers, e.g. M3 through M6, are common to each other?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al, of record, for the reasons stated in the previous action, hereby incorporated by reference. Because the claims have not completely ruled out the possibility that the signal transmission part and the heat conduction part may be commonly formed, it appears that Yamazaki continues to anticipates the claimed structure, especially given the fact that any wiring layers will inherently provide some heat dissipation, and the fact that some of applicant's embodiments does not require that the heat conduction layers are even exposed to the outside environment via an aperture.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2815

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-272-1739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

EW: ew